

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,573	06/24/2005	Naoki Kobayashi	016778-0498	6434
22428 7590 10/08/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			HUANG, WEN WU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/540,573 KOBAYASHI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit WEN W. HUANG 2618 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 18-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3} Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	v (PTO-948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application
S. Patent and Trademark Office		D

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/13/08 has been entered.

Claims 1-17 are cancelled.

Claims 18-32 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 18, 20, 22, 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bickert et al. (US. 5.907.307: hereinafter "Bickert")

Regarding claim 18, Bickert teaches a portable telephone (see Bickert, fig. 3) comprising:

a casing (see Bickert, fig. 3, casing 30),

an antenna mounted on an end of said casing (see Bickert, fig. 3, antenna 10; col. 13, lines 38-42); and

a dielectric member having a relative dielectric constant of more than one and little loss (see Bickert, fig. 2, radiation redistributing object 12; col. 11, line 60 - col. 12, line 4);

wherein said dielectric member is directly connected to a side of said antenna (see Bickert, col. 12, lines 43-49; object 12 can be place in contact with the antenna), said side of said antenna being positioned farther away from a body of a user when the user is operating the portable telephone (see Bickert, col. 12, lines 49-58).

Regarding claim 20, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18, wherein said casing includes an upper casing on which a speaker and a display screen are disposed (see Bickert, fig. 3, speaker 28 and display 42);

wherein said antenna is mounted on an upper end of said upper casing (see Bickert, fig. 3, antenna 10); wherein said dielectric member is directly connected to a back side of said antenna (see Bickert, col. 12, lines 43-49; dielectric object 12 can be place in contact with the antenna), said back side of said antenna being positioned farther away from a head as the body of the user when the user is holding said upper

casing adjacently the head in order to operate the portable telephone (see Bickert, fig. 2, radiation redistributing object 12; col. 11, line 60 - col. 12, line 4 and col. 12, lines 49-58).

Regarding claim 22, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18, wherein said side of said antenna is entirely connected (see Bickert, col. 12, lines 43-49; dielectric object 12 can be place in contact with the antenna) to and entirely covered by said dielectric member (see Bicker, fig. 8(d), col. 16, line 49).

Regarding claim 23, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18, wherein said antenna includes a joint provided at one end of said antenna that is coupled to said casing (see Bickert, fig. 3, insert 32);

wherein said joint operates as a feeding section for feeding electricity supplied by said portable telephone to said antenna, and corresponds to a feeding section that feeds power to the antenna from said portable telephone (see Bickert, col. 13, lines 37-42 and 62-67).

Regarding claim 27, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18, wherein the dielectric member has a curved surface on a side opposite to the antenna (see Bickert, fig. 2, dielectric 12, col. 11, lines 60-65, "C"-shaped).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 28, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bickert as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Shoji et al. (US. 7,031,762 B2; hereinafter "Shoji")

Regarding claim 28, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim
18

Bickert is silent to teaching that wherein the antenna is a built-in antenna built in the upper casing. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Shoji.

In the same field of endeavor, Shoji teaches a portable telephone wherein the antenna is a built-in antenna built in the upper casing (see Shoji, fig. 9, component 50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Shoii in order to alleviate degradation of antenna gain (see Shoii, col. 1, lines 44-46).

Regarding claim 31, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim

18

Bickert is silent to teaching that wherein the antenna is a monopole antenna. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Shoji.

In the same field of endeavor, Shoji teaches a portable telephone wherein the antenna is a monopole antenna (see Shoji, col. 2, line12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Shoji in order to alleviate degradation of antenna gain (see Shoji, col. 1, lines 44-46).

Regarding claim 32, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18.

Bickert is silent to teaching that wherein the antenna is a meander antenna.

However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Shoji.

In the same field of endeavor, Shoji teaches a portable telephone wherein the antenna is a meander antenna (see Shoji, col. 2, line 13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Shoji in order to alleviate degradation of antenna gain (see Shoji, col. 1, lines 44-46).

 Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bickert as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Filipovic (US. 6,590,544 B1).

Regarding claim 24, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18.

Bickert is silent to teaching that wherein the dielectric member is a dielectric member in shape of hemisphere. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Filipovic.

In the same field of endeavor, Filipovic teaches an antenna wherein the dielectric member is a dielectric member in shape of hemisphere (see Filipovic, col. 2, lines 39-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Filipovic in order to improve the directivity of the antenna (see Filipovic, col. 2, lines 22-23; Bickert, col. 12, lines 3-4).

 Claims 25, 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bickert as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Wong (US. 6,615,026 B1).

Regarding claim 25, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18.

Bickert is silent to teaching that wherein the dielectric member is a dielectric member in shape of hemicylinder. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Wong.

In the same field of endeavor, Wong teaches a portable telephone wherein the dielectric member is a dielectric member in shape of hemicylinder (see Wong, fig. 2, component 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Wong in order to direct harmful radio electromagnetic wave away from the user's head (see Wong, col. 2, lines 13-14; Bickert, col. 12, lines 3-4).

Regarding claim 26, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18.

Bickert is silent to teaching that wherein the dielectric member is a dielectric member in shape of rectangular. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Wong.

In the same field of endeavor, Wong teaches a portable telephone wherein the dielectric member is a dielectric member in shape of rectangular (see Wong, fig. 4, component 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Wong in order to direct harmful radio electromagnetic wave away from the user's head (see Wong, col. 2, lines 13-14; Bickert, col. 12, lines 3-4).

Regarding claim 29, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18.

Bickert is silent to teaching that wherein the antenna is a dipole antenna.

However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Wong.

In the same field of endeavor, Wong teaches a portable telephone wherein the antenna is a dipole antenna (see Wong, col. 2, lines 49-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Wong in order to direct harmful radio electromagnetic wave away from the user's head (see Wong, col. 2, lines 13-14).

 Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bickert as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Harano (US PUB NO. 2002/0142794 A1).

Regarding claim 30, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18.

Bickert is silent to teaching that wherein the antenna is an inverted-L-shaped antenna. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Harano.

In the same field of endeavor, Harano teaches a portable telephone wherein the antenna is an inverted-L-shaped antenna (see Harano, fig. 5, component 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Harano in order direct harmful radio electromagnetic wave away from the user's head (see Harano, abstract; Bickert, col. 12, lines 3-4).

 Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bickert as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Fehrm (US Pub No. 2003/0232628 A1) and Wong.

Regarding claim 19, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18.

Bickert is silent to teaching that wherein said casing includes a lower casing on which a keyboard is disposed:

wherein said antenna is mounted on an lower end of said lower casing; wherein said dielectric member is directly connected to a front side of said antenna, said front side of said antenna being positioned farther away from a palm as the body of the user when the user is holding said lower casing within the palm in order to operate the portable telephone. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Fehrm and Wong.

In the same field of endeavor, Fehrm teaches a portable telephone wherein said casing includes a lower casing on which a keyboard is disposed (see Fehrm, fig. 1, lower casing 2);

wherein said antenna is mounted on an lower end of said lower casing (see Fehrm, antenna 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Fehrm in order to achieve good SAR value (see Fehrm, para, [0025]).

The combination of Bickert and Fehrm is silent to teaching that wherein said dielectric member is directly connected to a front side of said antenna, said front side of said antenna being positioned farther away from a palm as the body of the user when the user is holding said lower casing within the palm in order to operate the portable telephone. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Wong.

In the same field of endeavor, Wong teaches a portable telephone wherein said dielectric member is directly connected (see Wong, fig. 1, dielectric 18) to a front side of said antenna (see Wong, fig. 1, antenna 12), said front side of said antenna being positioned farther away from a palm as the body of the user when the user is holding said lower casing within the palm in order to operate the portable telephone (see Wong, fig. 1 and 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert and Fehrm with the teaching of Wong in order to maximize the reflection of energy away from the user's head (see Wong, col. 2, lines 13-15).

Regarding claim 21, Bickert teaches the portable telephone according to claim 18, wherein said casing includes an upper casing on which a speaker and a display screen are disposed (see Bickert, fig. 3, speaker 28 and display 42):

wherein said dielectric member includes an upper dielectric member (see Bickert, dielectric object 12); and

wherein said antenna is mounted on an upper end of said upper casing (see Bickert, fig. 3, antenna 10); wherein said dielectric member is directly connected to a back side of said antenna (see Bickert, col. 12, lines 43-49; dielectric object 12 can be place in contact with the antenna), said back side of said antenna being positioned farther away from a head as the body of the user when the user is holding said upper casing adjacently the head in order to operate the portable telephone (see Bickert, fig. 2, radiation redistributing object 12; col. 11, line 60 - col. 12, line 4 and col. 12, lines 49-58).

Bickert is silent to teaching that

wherein said casing includes a lower casing on which a keyboard is disposed;

wherein said antenna includes a lower antenna mounted on an lower end of said lower casing and an upper antenna mounted on an upper end of said upper casing;

wherein said dielectric member includes a lower dielectric member;

wherein said lower dielectric member is directly connected to a front side of said antenna, said front side of said antenna being positioned farther away from a palm as the body of the user when the user is holding said lower casing within the palm in order

to operate the portable telephone. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Fehrm and Wong.

In the same field of endeavor, Fehrm teaches a portable telephone wherein said casing includes a lower casing on which a keyboard is disposed (see Fehrm, fig. 1, lower casing 2);

wherein said antenna includes a lower antenna mounted on an lower end of said lower casing and an upper antenna mounted on an upper end of said upper casing (see Fehrm, antenna 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert with the teaching of Fehrm in order to achieve good SAR value (see Fehrm, para. [0025]).

The combination of Bickert and Fehrm is silent to teaching that wherein said dielectric member includes a lower dielectric member:

wherein said dielectric member is directly connected to a front side of said antenna, said front side of said antenna being positioned farther away from a palm as the body of the user when the user is holding said lower casing within the palm in order to operate the portable telephone. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Wong.

In the same field of endeavor, Wong teaches a portable telephone wherein said dielectric member includes a lower dielectric member (see Wong, fig. 1, dielectric 18);

wherein said dielectric member is directly connected (see Wong, fig. 1, dielectric 18) to a front side of said antenna (see Wong, fig. 1, antenna 12), said front side of said

antenna being positioned farther away from a palm as the body of the user when the user is holding said lower casing within the palm in order to operate the portable telephone (see Wong, fig. 1 and 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bickert and Fehrm with the teaching of Wong in order to maximize the reflection of energy away from the user's head (see Wong, col. 2, lines 13-15).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/13/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Bickert, the Applicant argues that based on fig. 2 of Bickert, there is space between antenna 10 and dielectric 12.

However, the Examiner submits that Bickert, in col. 12, lines 43-49, explicitly teaches that dielectric object 12 can be place directly in contact with the antenna given sufficient dielectric constant.

Regarding Wong, the Applicant argues that because of the type and location of the dielectric and antenna (i.e. built-in) Wong cannot be applied against the amended claims.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WEN W. HUANG whose telephone number is (571)272-7852. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/W. W. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Matthew D. Anderson/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618